# **CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

### **Claim Amendment Summary**

### Claims pending

- Before this Amendment: Claims 1, 4-12, 14, 15, and 17-32.
- After this Amendment: Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-12, 14, 15, and 17-32.

**Previously Canceled or Withdrawn claims:** Claims 2, 3, 13, and 16.

Claims Canceled or Withdrawn Herein: Claim 6.

**Amended claims**: 1, 12, 15, 22, and 28.

**New claims:** None.

#### Claims:

(Currently Amended) A method for localizing information 1. resources relevant to a user, the method implemented at least in part by a computing device, comprising:

establishing an extensible list of attributes of various information resources in an information system and assigning a user-selectable priority weight to each of the attributes in the list of attributes, wherein each information resource is classified according to one or more attributes;

establishing an extensible list of values for the attributes in the extensible list of attributes, wherein each value is associated in the list with its corresponding attribute;

selecting a first set of one or more of the values from the extensible list of values to be a first set of target criteria to designate a subset of the

-3-

Serial No.: 10/602,574 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007



information resources <u>relevant to the user</u>, wherein the <u>user-selectable</u> priority weights of each attribute associated with a value in the first set of target criteria are added to obtain a priority sum for the first set of target

criteria;

selecting additional sets of target criteria to designate a spectrum of

subsets of the information resources relevant to the user; and

comparing a priority sum of each additional set of target criteria to

the priority sum of the first set of target criteria to determine whether the

particular one of each of the additional sets of target criteria designates a

more general or a more specific subset of information resources relevant

to the user than designated by the first set of target criteria; and

localizing information resources and content elements in the

information system for the user of the information system by presenting

the user with one or more of the subsets of the information resources

relevant to the user which are designated by one or more of the first set of

target criteria and the additional sets of target criteria.

2-3. (Canceled)

(Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 1,

wherein each information resource includes one or more content elements

and each content element can be classified according to one or more

attributes.

Serial No.: 10/602,574

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

The Business of IP™

5. (Original) The method as recited in claim 4, wherein each resource and each content element in each resource is linked with a set of target criteria and if a resource is designated as a member of a subset by a set of target criteria then priority sums of each respective set of target criteria linked to each content element in the resource are compared to the priority sum of the set of target criteria linked to the resource to rank the content elements in the resource according to similarity with the priority sum of the set of target criteria linked to the resource.

### 6. (Canceled)

- **7. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein a database structure of the information system remains unNathanieled during expansion and contraction of the extensible list of attributes and the extensible list of values.
- **8. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 7, further comprising adding attributes to the extensible list of attributes.
- **9. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 8, further comprising adding values associated with the added attributes to the extensible list of values.

-5-

lee hayes The Business of IP TM

**10. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 9, further comprising selecting a set of values including one or more of the added attributes to designate a subset of the information resources with greater specificity.

**11. (Original)** The method as recited in claim 1, wherein each value of each attribute of each information resource is included in the extensible list of values.

**12. (Currently Amended)** One or more computer-readable media encoded with a data structure, comprising:

an extensible table of attributes, wherein various content elements in an information system possess values of the attributes and wherein each attribute in the extensible table of attributes is associated with a priority weight assigned by a user and which is used for weighting purposes during a localization of content elements relevant to the user;

an extensible table of values of the attributes, wherein each value is associated with its corresponding attribute; and

multiple sets of one or more values to designate multiple subsets of content elements, wherein a priority weight of each attribute associated with each value in each set is summed to determine a priority sum of the respective set and the priority sums of respective sets in the multiple sets can be compared to determine similarities and differences between subsets of content elements designated by the multiple sets whereby the content elements relevant to the user can be localized.

lee@hayes The Business of IP 1M

## 13. (Canceled)

14. (Previously presented) The one or more computerreadable media as recited in claim 12, wherein the data structure further comprises a list of the multiple sets, wherein the list of multiple sets is arranged according to a numerical order of the respective priority sums of sets in the multiple sets.

-7-



**15.** (Currently Amended) A content management engine, comprising:

a classification engine to determine attributes of a plurality of

information resources in an information system;

an attribute table manager in communication with a dynamic table of attributes and priorities, wherein the dynamic table of attributes and

priorities includes the attributes of the plurality of information resources;

a prioritizer which accepts user input to assign priority weights to

each attribute in the table of attributes and priorities;

a values table manager in communication with a dynamic table of

values, wherein each value and its associated attribute possessed by one

of the information resources is represented in the table of values;

a target criteria engine to create target criteria sets of one or more

of the values, wherein a target criteria set designates a subset of the

information resources in the information system based on the one or more

values; and

a localization engine to make information resources in the subset

available to a user of the information system, having a target criteria set

comparator to compare a priority sum of <u>user assigned priority</u> weights for

a first target criteria set to a priority sum of <u>user assigned</u> priority weights

for a second target criteria set to determine which of first and second

target criteria sets locate information resources which are more relevant to

the user, wherein a priority sum is the sum of the priority weights of the

values in a given target criteria set.

Serial No.: 10/602,574

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

The Business of IP \*\*\*

16. (Canceled)

17. (Previously presented) The content management engine

as recited in claim 15, wherein the target criteria sets are stored by the

content management engine.

**18.** (Original) The content management engine as recited in

claim 17, wherein the target criteria sets are ranked according to their

respective priority sums.

19. (Original) The content management engine as recited in

claim 17, wherein the target criteria set comparator compares a priority

sum of a target criteria set requested by a user to a priority sum of a

stored target criteria set.

20. (Original) The content management engine as recited in

claim 15, further comprising a target criteria set link module to link a

preferred target criteria set to each information resource and each content

element included in each information resource.

21. (Original) The content management engine as recited in

claim 20, further comprising a content ranking module to compare a

priority sum of each preferred target criteria set linked to content elements

included in an information resource to the priority sum of the target

Serial No.: 10/602,574

Atty Docket No.: MSI-1482US

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

lee&hayes The Business of IPTM

-9-

criteria set linked to the information resource and rank the content elements according to a comparison result.

22. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable

media containing instructions that are executable by a computer to

perform actions, comprising:

associating priority weight values assigned by a user with attributes

in a dynamic list of attributes associated with a plurality of information

resources in an information system;

associating instances of attribute values with corresponding

attributes in a dynamic list of attribute values;

designating subsets of the plurality of information resources based

on sets of one or more of the attribute values;

comparing the sets of one or more attribute values according to

respective priority sums to determine which of the one or more of the

subsets of the plurality of information resources are more relevant to the

<u>user</u>, wherein a priority sum is obtained by summing priority weight values

assigned by the user associated with each attribute corresponding to an

attribute value in a set of one or more of the attribute values.

23. (Original) The one or more computer readable media as

recited in claim 22, wherein each information resource includes one or

-10-

more content elements possessing one or more of the attributes.

Serial No.: 10/602,574

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US

my Docket No. MS1-146205

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

lee@hayes The B

The Business of IP™

**24. (Original)** The one or more computer readable media as recited in claim 23, further comprising instructions to link each information resource and each content element in each information resource with a set of one or more of the attribute values and if an information resource is designated as a member of a subset by a set of one or more of the attribute values then to rank each content element in an information resource according to a difference between a priority sum of a set of one or more of the attribute values linked to the content element and a priority sum of a set of one or more of the attribute values linked to the information resource, wherein a priority sum is a sum of each priority weight value of each attribute associated with each attribute value in a set of one or more attribute values.

**25. (Original)** The one or more computer readable media as recited in claim 24, further comprising instructions to localize information resources and content elements in the information system for a user of the information system by providing an interface between the user and one or more of the subsets of the information resources and content elements of each information resource in the one or more subsets.

**26. (Original)** The one or more computer readable media as recited in claim 22, further comprising instructions to add attributes to the dynamic list of attributes.

Serial No.: 10/602,574 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

(Original) The one or more computer readable media as recited in claim 26, further comprising instructions to add attribute values associated with the added attributes to the dynamic list of attribute values.

28. (Currently Amended) An information system, embodied at

least in part as a computing device, comprising:

a plurality of information resources, each having one or more

attributes;

an extensible table of the attributes, wherein each attribute is

assigned a weight by a user;

an extensible table of values for the attributes, wherein each value

is associated with its corresponding attribute; and

sets of the values, wherein each set specifies a subset of the

information resources relevant to the user, and wherein each set can be

differentiated as being a more general or a more specific subset of

information relevant to the user by the sum of the weights assigned by the

user to of each attribute represented by a value in each set.

29. (Original) The information system as recited in claim 28,

wherein the extensible table of the attributes and the extensible table of

values can be expanded without Nathanieling a database structure of the

information system.

30 (Original) The information system as recited in claim 28.

wherein each information resource includes various content elements.

Serial No.: 10/602,574

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007

lee@haves

**31. (Original)** The information system as recited in claim 30, wherein an information resource and each content element is associated with a preferred set of values for specifying a subset of information resources that includes the information resource or the content element.

**32. (Original)** The information system as recited in claim 31, wherein a content element included in an information resource is ranked relative to other content elements in the information resource according to a magnitude of a difference between a priority sum associated with the content element and a priority sum associated with the information resource, wherein a priority sum is a sum of weights of each attribute represented by a value in the preferred set of values associated with each information resource and each content element.

-13-

Serial No.: 10/602,574 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1482US RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 01/16/2007